NS pulse profile measurements with LOFT: constraining the Equation of State



Dr Anna Watts University of Amsterdam LOFT DMWG Leader

Motivation

- Basic physics: the nature of matter
 - Nucleon-nucleon interactions poorly understood, especially for neutron-rich matter and at high density.
 - More exotic states of matter also possible at high density.
- Astrophysical phenomena
 - Supernova process
 - Black hole formation (NS maximum mass)
 - Gamma-ray bursts
 - NS binary mergers (prime GW sources)





Impact of uncertainty



• Current uncertainty in one nucleonic EoS (Hebeler et al. 2013)

• LOFT aims to measure M, R to accuracies of a few %.





NASA

Thermonuclear burst oscillations



Analysis (Lo et al. 2013)

- 1. Generate synthetic burst waveform data for situations of interest.
- 2. Compute the joint posterior distributions of all relevant parameters in the standard rotating hot spot model of burst oscillations, using MCMC sampling.
- 3. Compute the joint posterior distributions of *M* and *R*, by marginalizing the other parameters (observer inclination, hotspot inclination...)
- 4. Use the marginalized distributions to determine the most probable values of *M* and *R* and their 1- σ and 3- σ confidence regions.

High inclinations allow tight constraints on M and R

Observer inclination = 90° , high background



High inclinations allow tight constraints on M and R

Observer inclination = 90° , medium background



Confidence regions expand slowly with decreasing spot inclination for inclinations > 60°

Observer inclination = 90° , medium background



Independent knowledge of the observer's inclination can increase the precision

Spot and observer inclinations = 90° , high background



Incorrect modeling of the spot shape increases the uncertainties

Spot and observer inclinations = 90°, medium background



Summary of results

- If the hot spot is within ~ 10° of the equator, M and R can be determined with a 1 σ uncertainty ~ 10%, using only waveform data.
- Uncertainties increase slowly as the hot spot moves away from the rotation equator, becoming large if the spot is within $\sim 30^{\circ}$ of the pole
- Independent knowledge of inclination/distance reduces uncertainties.
- Spectra (continuum fitting or lines) provide complementary constraints.
- Importantly, these constraints should be achievable even if the burst properties vary with time and we need to combine data from multiple bursts.

Feasibility

- Priority sources identified: need 10-100 bursts, ~1000 ks/source.
- Checks on model and geometry using RXTE data on harmonics and phase lags (Muno et al. 2002, Artigue et al. 2013).
- Complementary information
 - Spectra (continuum, lines)
 - Distances from GAIA
 - Inclination from optical

Source	Counts in 10s
EXO 0748-676	307800
4U 1608-52	876420
4U 1636-536	336420
4U 1702-429	444420
4U 1728-34	328320
KS 1731-260	238680
Aql X-1	575100

Expected pulsed counts per burst (Psaltis, Ozel, Chakrabarty)

Comparison to NICER

- Neutron star Interior Composition ExploreR
 - NASA Explorer Mission of Opportunity, operating on ISS from late 2016.
 - Same goal as LOFT DM, uses pulse profile modelling technique.
 - Different sources, hence different emission models.
 - Obtain mass from radio measurements.
 - Anticipate comparable results to LOFT for one source, PSR J0437-4715.





LOFT will, using burst oscillation pulse profile modelling of known sources, deliver 3-5 measurements of M and R accurate at the few % level. This will allow us to reconstruct the EoS. A.Watts* (University of Amsterdam, The Netherlands), A. Alpar (Sabanci University, Istanbul, Turkey), N. A. Andersson (Southampton University, United Kingdom), R. Artigue (IRAP, France), S. Bhattacharyya (TIFR, India), I. Bombaci (Univ. of Pisa, Italy), S. Boutloukos (Univ. of Tuebingen, Germany), L. Burderi (Cagliari University, Italy), S. Campana (INAF-OA Brera, Italy), J. Casares (Instituto de Astrofísica de canarias, Spain), **D. Chakrabarty** (MIT, United States), **A. Drago** (Ferrara Univ., Italy), **M. Falanga** (ISSI, Switzerland), **R. Ferrari** (La Sapienza Univ., Italy), **M. Gabler** (University of Valencia, Spain), **D. Galloway** (Monash University, Australia), L. Gualtieri (La Sapienza Univ., Italy), G. Israel (INAF-OAR, Italy), P. Jonker (SRON, The Netherlands), K. Kokkotas (Tuebingen University, Germany), C. Kouveliotou (Marshall space flight center, United States), M. van der Klis (Universy of Amsterdam, The Netherlands), F. K. Lamb (University of Illinois, USA), S. Mahmoodifar (University of Maryland, USA), I. Mandel (University of Birmingham, United Kingdom), M. Mendez (Groningen University, The Netherlands), A. Melatos (University of Melbourne, Australia), C. Miller (University of Maryland, United States), S. Morsink (University of Alberta, Canada), F. Muleri (IAPS-INAF, Italy), T. Muñoz-Darias (Southampton University, United Kingdom), F. Ozel (University) of Arizona, United States), J. Pons (University of Alicante, Spain), J. Poutanen (University of Oulu, Finland), A. Papitto (IEEC-CSIC, Barcelona, Spain), A. Patruno (University of Amsterdam, The Netherlands), M. Prakash (Ohio University, Spain), **D. Psaltis** (Univ. of Arizona, United States), **N. Rea** (IEEC-CSIC, Barcelona, Spain), **S. Reddy** (Institute for Nuclear Theory, Univ. of Washington, United States), L. Rezzolla (Max Planck Institute for Gravitational Physics, Germany), **R. Schneider** (INAF-OAR, Italy), **A. Schwenk** (Darmstadt Univ., Germany), A.W. Steiner (Institute for Nuclear Theory, Univ. of Washington, United States), L. Stella (INAF-OAR, Italy), N. Stergioulas (Thessaloniki Univ., Greece), T. Strohmayer (Goddard Space Flight Center, United States), T. Takahashi (ISAS, Japan), T. Tauris (Univ. of Bonn, Germany), L. Tolos (IEEC-CSIC, Spain), R. Wijnands (Univ. of Amsterdam), **S. Zane** (MSSL, UK)